

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/086,518	02/28/2002	Vernon D. Erickson	P1631US01	3841
30408	7590 05/06/2003		•	
GATEWAY, INC. ATTENTION: IP LAW GROUP (MAIL STOP SD-21) 14303 GATEWAY PLACE			EXAMINER	
			LEA EDMONDS, LISA S	
POWAY, CA	92064		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		√
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	10/086,518	ERICKSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
7. 444 NO 2477 641	Lisa Lea-Edmonds	2835
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiry within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 f	<u>May 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 9-14,16-18 and 20-23 is/are pending		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>9-14,16-18 and 20-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	laction requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2002</u> is/are		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	•
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	tion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((e) (to a provisional application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Potant and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/086,518

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/24/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 16, 17, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 16, 17, 20 and 21 are indefinite because they depend from a cancel claim. Claims 16 and 17 depend from claim 15 and claims 20 and 21 depend from claim 19; claims 15 and 19 were canceled without prejudice in applicant's preliminary amendment dated 04/24/03. To expedite the prosecution of the case the examiner of record will assume claims 16 and 17 should depend from claim 9, and claims 20 and 21 should depend from claim 12. Therefore the following rejection of claims 16, 17, 20, and 11 is based upon the claims being dependent from claim 9 or claims 12 respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,518

Art Unit: 2835

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 9-14, 16-18, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being 4. clearly anticipated by Lee et al.. With respect to claims 9-14, 16-18, and 20-23, it is clear to see from figures 1-7, Lee et al. teaches an electronic device chassis (30) comprising a bracket (32) for mounting two or more storage devices (20, 22, 24, 25) being oriented in a first plane; a hinge (44) for rotatably connection the bracket (32) to the chassis (30) wherein the hinge (44) is configured to rotate the bracket (32) in a closed and open position about a hinging axis in a first plane in a direction perpendicular to the first plane; means for securing the bracket (32) in a closed position; and a locking structure for locking the bracket in a first position. Also, Lee et al. teaches an electronic system (10) comprising; an electronic device chassis (30); a bracket (32) for mounting two or more storage devices (20, 22, 24, 25) being oriented in a first plane; a hinge (44) being oriented parallel to the first plane for rotatably connecting the bracket (32) to the chassis (30); wherein the mounted devices (20, 22, 24, 25) comprises a first device having a front orientated in the first surface and a back surface parallel to the front surface, the back surface having a connector disposed thereon as claimed (see for example figures 1-7). With respect to the hinge structure as claimed, the apparatus of Lee et al. inherently teaches such hinge structure by incorporating US Pat. No. 5,561,893 "Method of Forming a Hinge Structure" (see for example column 4 line 14-20 and US Pat. 5,561,893 issued to the same inventor as the prior art used).

Application/Control Number: 10/086,518

Art Unit: 2835

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see page 3, filed 04/24/03, with respect to claims 15 and 19 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 15 and 19 has been withdrawn. With respect to applicant's remarks concerning the 102 rejection of claims 9-21, applicant is directed to the above 102 rejection. With respect to applicant remarks concerning the dictionary definition of "bracket" used in the previous Office Action, the examiner of record respectfully requests applicant to submit a definition for "bracket" which aptly describes the term as it pertains to the present invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Lea-Edmonds whose telephone number is 703-305-0265. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1782.

Lisa Lea-Edmonds
Examiner
Art Unit 2835

May 5, 2003